# Minutes of October 29 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

#### Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola

IBM: Ian Robinson, Dan Bandera

Oracle: Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Bill Shannon

Payara: Steve Millidge Red Hat: not present Tomitribe: not present

Participant member representative: Martijn Verburg Committer member representative: Open seat

Eclipse: Paul Buck, Paul White, Tanja Obradovic, Ivar Grimstad

#### **Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting**

Minutes of the October 8 meeting were approved.

Minutes of the October 15 meeting will be reviewed next time.

#### **EclipseCon Europe**

General feedback from the conference.

Good feedback and content. Broad discussion

#### Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up

- Publishing spec docs reviewed the following status doc
  - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18SraPxRBCOyaS6w-UV6TR-UA1bWy 1--sV0ky6msAjWY/edit?usp=sharing
  - Spec Committee has released the docs that have been contributed
  - EF continuing to seek clearances for remaining docs
- Contributing GF 4.X Japanese documentation
  - Oracle continuing to work on contributing OLH and man pages
- Sonatype/Nexus Pro license
  - David, Denis are working through the issues

### **Jakarta EE 8 Retrospective**

- Document published for community:
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1E7uHGyvjDH0fimxxdHwHtC6iZIC9wwEBf WLRilVQs80/edit
- I will send out a summary for review today/tomorrow
  - The Spec Committee is doing its own retrospective, has its own document
    - Spec Committee asked to provide a summary of A/I it will take as a result
      still a work in progress

#### Replacing the vacant seat on the Steering (and other) Committees

- The deadline for nominations has passed.
- Arjan Tijms was nominated for Steering Committee spot. His nomination is acclaimed.
  He will be contacted by the Eclipse Foundation.
- No nominees for Marketing Committee consensus was to leave the marketing positions open for now, pending receipt of additional nominations, further active recruiting now will not be pursued. Informal recruiting is still encouraged

#### Jakarta EE and MicroProfile

 MicroProfile team members are preparing a proposal for a WG for MicroProfile, due soon.

#### Jakarta EE 9

Proposal from Paul White in email:

As a means to both clarify the roles of the Steering Committee, the Spec Committee, and the Platform Project, as well as to establish timelines and success criteria based on the key objectives identified in the 2020 Strategic Plan, we are suggesting the Steering Committee pass a resolution that explicitly calls on the Platform Project to deliver a delivery plan for the release of Jakarta EE 9. As part of the delivery plan, there will be Specifications that are in the release that their delivery needs to manage as part of the overall release plan for Jakarta EE 9.

Proposed resolution (as amended during the Steering Committee meeting)

RESOLVED, the Jakarta EE Steering Committee requests that the Jakarta EE Platform Project leadership deliver a Jakarta EE 9 Delivery Plan to the Steering Committee no later than December 9, 2019, for the Steering Committee to consider adopting as the

roadmap for Jakarta EE 9, and that the Jakarta EE 9 Delivery Plan accommodate the following constraints:

- Implements the "big bang"
- Includes an explicit means to identify and enable specifications that are unnecessary or unwanted to be deprecated or removed
- Moves all remaining specification apis to the Jakarta namespace
- States that no new specifications are to be added, apart from specifications pruned from Java SE 8 where appropriate, unless those specifications clearly will not impact the target delivery date

The plan shall define a delivery date, and the team should view meeting the above requirements in as early a timeframe as possible as a higher priority than adding additional functionality to the release.

Steering Committee member votes:

Oracle - Yes Payara - Yes IBM - Yes Fujitsu - Yes Martijn - Yes

The resolution was adopted.

The draft resolution is based on the following assumptions with respect to roles:

- 1. The Steering Committee owns the roadmap. As owner, it can define the requirements needed and delegate to the Platform Project. The Steering Committee is ultimately responsible for the delivery of Jakarta EE 9, which it delegates to the Platform Project.
- 2. The Platform Project owns development of its release plan. It also has to generate its Spec plan, as it is also a Specification Project. It also owns its delivery plan.
- 3. The Spec Committee approves spec release plans developed by Spec projects. Given the Platform Project is a Specification Project, the Platform Project's spec release plan needs to be approved.

**Progress Updates** 

Paul proposed that the Steering Committee can also pass a resolution that calls for updates to be provided on a regular basis once the plan is adopted by the Steering Committee in December. For example:

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee requests the Platform Project to provide biweekly updates on its progress against the Jakarta EE 9 Delivery Plan once that plan is adopted, and to designate an individual (or individuals) to provide said updates.

It was agreed to resume the discussion on this topic next week.

#### Jakarta EE Ambassadors

- Last time the Steering Committee endorsed the group formerly named Java EE Guardians using the name Jakarta EE Ambassadors (if they use the term Ambassadors).
- The group was notified. This is under discussion on their mailing list.

#### Jakarta EE 2020 Plan

- EF has drafted a 2020 plan.
- <a href="https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tlFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOnM/edit#slide=id.p5">https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tlFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOnM/edit#slide=id.p5</a>
- EF would like consensus on this (or similar) plan document this will drive operational plans
- We discussed and provided some updates and feedback. More feedback may be provided, we will vote on this next week
- Scorecard report was requested on current year performance vs. goals.

#### **Working Group Member Voting**

- This was voted on by Eclipse Board of Directors. The summary of this is that affiliates, such as IBM and Red Hat, will remain full voting members in Working Groups and Committees
- See Eclipse Board of Directors minutes, which will be published shortly, for details.

## Jakarta EE Working Group and Committees (not discussed)

 Refining/reviewing ongoing roles of Steering Committee, Spec Committee, Marketing Committee, PMC

### Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls (not discussed)

- All members intending to use the logo need to sign the following:
  - o <a href="https://jakarta.ee/legal/trademark\_guidelines/">https://jakarta.ee/legal/trademark\_guidelines/</a>
- Any other updates

# Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE (EF will follow up on this)

• The Steering Committee is generally supportive, would recommend some structured process around it. Request that Eclipse make some recommendations, perhaps taking into account existing practices.