

Minutes of March 26 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees (to be confirmed):

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura
IBM: Dan Bandera, Ian Robinson
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little, John Clingan
Tomitribe: David Blevins
Martijn Verburg
Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Paul Buck, Wayne Beaton

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of the February 19th, February 26th and March 5th meetings were approved.

Trademark Licensing Agreement and Other Agreements

See note from prior meetings on this discussion topic.

The Eclipse Foundation and Oracle held a series of meetings last week to review the Trademark License Agreement, Working Group Participation Agreement, Member Committer and Contributor Agreement, and Specification Copyright License Agreement consistent with the discussion in last week's Steering Committee meeting.

We have made progress on the first 3 agreements, but as of Friday COB, there remained a significant outstanding issue. There was no change on this Monday, and the Eclipse BOD meeting is today, so we do not have a further update. We expect to have more to say later this week.

In the event that WGP is not signed by April 2 by Oracle and Eclipse, or Tomitribe and Eclipse, or Fujitsu and Eclipse, the Steering Committee requested that each of Oracle, Tomitribe and Fujitsu attend the April 2 Steering Committee meeting and provide an update with any proposed next steps. There was strong group feedback on obtaining uniform WGP terms.

Tracking open issues from March 5:

- Tomitribe's participation agreement is outstanding, due to slow progress with the Apache Software Foundation. The latest status is that this was being reviewed at Apache Legal, but there has been no recent update and David received no reply from Apache in the past week. Mark Little and Dan Bandera offered to help raise attention to his issue at Apache. David will send a mail requesting assistance.
- The Fujitsu Participation Agreement is due April 1.
 - As of last Steering Committee meeting, Kenji was pushing on this, but the Agreement may be one week late. No change from last week's status.

Budget Issue

Follow-up on the review of updates to the proposed Working Group budget.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rj5t8aswPsTMIPqM4s9UBOnCE2SjEYAyekF6RSBd1r4/edit>

From Eclipse:

The process is a vote on the revised budget as presented, and it replaces the previous version. □ If it turns out revisions are needed, then we would update again. The revised budget when approved will allow us to begin with the spending against it. □

Dan (IBM) and Steve (Payara) recommended approving the revised budget as is, with the understanding that budget approval is required enable Eclipse to spend funds, and that the budget is subject to future revision. David expressed concern that the budget remain within available funds, funding requests outside the budget for new initiatives are avoided, and padding is left in the budget to handle new opportunities throughout the year. [Per his subsequent mail, he expressed a desire that we define an agreed approach for handling overages - his preference is that responsibility for any budget overages not simply be split "evenly" across Working Group members, but be allocated at least proportionally to the annual Working Group membership fees of each Working Group member.]

The revised budget was approved unanimously.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Update on the following:

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Oracle reports that TCK testing seems to be stabilizing. We still have occasional troubles, but the results are looking better.

Marketing Committee Update

Marketing committee intends to vote on the compatibility logo in the March 28 meeting.

The developer survey closed March 25. There were over 1,700 responses. Results will be analyzed - more details to follow.

There will be a Working Group meeting (renamed Jakarta EE Update Call) on March 27. The call will be open to the entire Jakarta EE community, not just the WG. The agenda is provided in the invitation - will be forwarded in mail.

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release was agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The "Next Steps" document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

The following Google doc is being updated:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

General Updates:

- Jakarta EE Steering Committee Approval of the Jakarta EE Specification Process

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1o-VmnLn3wNVcVPZTJEIWWQZo5buuhx5Bs1mG0JclpX8/edit>

From Wayne's email on March 25:

Getting this out the door is on our critical path to creating specification projects from our existing projects. If you have immediate concerns that this process document does not address, please raise them ASAP. For now, we need to have enough of the process defined to actually engage in the creation of specification projects. We can work on a revision to address new deficiencies as we discover them. We can continue to evolve the operations document.

I will call for a proper ballot to approve this process starting this Wednesday with a goal of approving this on April 3.

Unless there is some objection, I would like to socialize this ballot with the Steering Committee on this Tuesday's call to queue them up to approve it immediately after the Specification Committee approves it.

Wayne alerted the group regarding the above, and that he intends to bring the JESP to the Specification Committee for approval ASAP, and then back to the Steering Committee for final approval following approval by the Specification Committee. Look for follow-up email from Wayne.

- Oracle intends to sign up for leading the TCK work and Eclipse GlassFish 5.2, pending conclusion of the agreements discussed above.
- Tanja noted there were revisions to time estimates for specification related work in the planning sheet. She requested that members review time estimates in their respective sections of the planning sheet.
- Martijn has collected volunteers from LJC to contribute to the Jakarta EE 8 effort.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFrltz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/e#gid=157814126

Clarification from Oracle last time:

- Would project URLs need to change: e.g.
<https://projects.eclipse.org/projects/ee4j.jms>
 - The answer is yes, they would need to change. We are working on a defining a convention for this and would prefer to communicate this after Eclipse has a chance to review this.
- Would javax package names need to change e.g. javax.jms - no, there is not a requirement to change

Jakarta Summit

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on the resolution of legal issues.