Jakarta EE Spec Committee - November 16th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Tom Watson - IBM - Emily Jiang

Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage - Payara - Petr Aubrecht

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Scott Stark - Red Hat - Scott Marlow Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:

 Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the November 2nd, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking spreadsheet of individual specs progressing through the JESP
 - Inprogress https://jakarta.ee/about/jesp/ being updated and Paul to send email to discussion mailing list to communicate the availability of JESP 1.4
- PR 1517 was merged, email to be sent to notify projects/leads and via the appropriate mailing lists [Scott S.]
- Approval of Jakarta Security Restructuring [Scott]
 - Merge the 3 security specification projects into one, see issue #392
 - https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/392
 - Specification Committee was asked if there were any objections to proceed w/ the merge as documented in issue #392
 - No objections were raised, this request is approved
- Work on and resolve Specification Committee's process enhancements items including those identified in the Jakarta EE 10 retrospective:
 - Issues with the "enhancement" tag https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues
 - Enhancment issues in a project board https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/1/views/1

- Does the EFSP mandate that authoritative sources live within a specification project? That is source input that ends up producing the final specification content [Tom]

 - API JAR's are provided as a convenience, they are not normative. The JavaDoc and signature tests are part of the spec and therefore normative.
 - Is it a requirement that the sources used to create normative artifacts required to be managed as part of the specification project's sources?
 - Is there a situation where a committer may have merged an artifact from sources outside of the project that has provenance elsewhere?
 - Is there a situation where there is a committer on an open source project that is not a committer on the specification project, where that committer has contributed to an artifact that is normative?
 - Need to establish policy (if required) that apply to all specs
 Proposal Invite Wayne Beaton to the next meeting (30th) to explore this topic further.
- **Register** for JakartaOne Livestream Today! The registration link is here