

Jakarta EE Spec Committee Agenda May 27, 2020

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Dan Bandera - IBM - **Kevin Sutter**

Bill Shannon - Oracle - **Ed Bratt**, **Dmitry Kornilov**

Mark Wareham - Payara - Matt Gill

Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monterio, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - **Martijn Verburg**

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Scott (Congquan) Wang - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Wayne Beaton, **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck**

Past business / action items:

- The minutes from the April 29th meeting approved as drafted.
- The minutes from the May 13th meeting approved as drafted.

Agenda

- Review the process simplifications document being prepared by Dmitry and Kevin.

Target is to review the completed draft in the May 13th Spec Committee meeting.

[Dmitry, Kevin]

- <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1yp06hl4fULu5SVPlc96EK8lIZrAb8WFmg79QQfzjwY0/edit>
- Proposal was reviewed and discussed and a question was asked can the PR itself be simplified?
- The PR content all seems “required”.

Spec Committee is asked to review and provide input on the doc.

05/27 - Decision made to focus on improving the PRs process and simplifying the PRs. Is there duplication we can avoid?

- Ideas were discussed, no changes to be made at this time
 - No separate API docs is a candidate
- What are the requirements for TCK licenses for artifacts in Maven Central?

<https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/tckprocess/>

Can the TCK be dual licensed at Maven Central - EFTL & Apache or EPL?

Input from Wayne Beaton:

- The requirement is that in order to be considered a valid Compatible Implementation, the vendor must run the EFTL version of the TCK.

- So... if the way that you run the TCK to validate a Compatible Implementation is to acquire it via Maven Central, then there must be a means of acquiring the TCK under the EFTL from Maven Central.
 - Dual licensing (of the final ratified artifact only) would satisfy the requirement.
- i) Question: Does Maven Central support dual licensing or do two copies need to be pushed to Maven Central. One with open source lic and the other with EFTL.

05/28 Update from David: Got the all clear from Sonatype. If one of the licenses is open source, we're good to go.
- ii) EF to confirm that the dual lic approach is acceptable.

05/27 this is acceptable
- Creation ballot for Jakarta MVC specification project [Ivar]

Item discussed. Vote underway on the public Spec Committee list. Question was asked regarding when to do the PR to create the spec page?

<https://projects.eclipse.org/proposals/jakarta-mvc>

05/27 Ivar to summarize the steps taken for MVC and determine if the Operation document is consistent with these steps. Also follow-up with Jakarta NoSQL

06/08 Ivar proposed:

https://deploy-preview-795--jakartaee.netlify.app/committees/specification/operations/#new_specifications

05/27 When to do the Milestone review and/or Plan review? Paul to work with Wayne on a proposal and post to the list or come back to this meeting
- How to handle revisions to TCKs
 - When a TCK revision is needed/produced by an API project team, what process requirements are followed? What about older TCK releases?
 - I would propose
 - Some type of notification including a change overview
 - Existing TCK versions ought to remain valid and published
 - Perhaps some limitations
 - Ex. in JCP Challenged tests could only be excluded or new tests added optional/alternates
 - If needed, verification that ancillary change requirements (i.e. the tests are rolled up into Platform TCK) are also handled

05/27 Requirement: Spec pages need to reflect all of the states and versions of a Specification including TCK. Communication and process for making a change to a TCK:

 1. Brief email to the spec public list to explain the change to a TCK.
 2. Give a week for comments
 3. A Spec Committee member signs the TCK notifies the committee of their action on the mailing list
 4. Spec page is updated with a PR
- Milestone Release Artifacts

05/27 Discussed and decided on the mailing list: PR's will be used.

- Page design for listing multiple compatible implementations for individual specifications
 - See: <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/restful-ws/2.1/>
- Increase developers traffic from the jakarta.ee page to the specification pages by providing additional helpful information on the specification project pages
 - See: <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/mvc/>

05/27 David to share ideas on the Jakarta community mailing list

- Steering Committee on April 7th, 2020 requested a future action for the Spec Committee to structure a discussion on how MP specs may be consumed for Jakarta EE
 - Noted here and to be tabled for a future meeting