Jakarta EE Spec Committee - February 9th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu Tom Watson - IBM - Emily Jiang Ed Bratt - Oracle - Dmitry Kornilov

Andrew Pielage - Payara

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Jun Qian - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, Paul Buck (chair)

Guests: Scott Stark, Scott Marlow

Past business / action items:

 Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the January 26th, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking <u>spreadsheet</u> of individual specs progressing through the <u>JESP</u>
 - Jakarta EE 10 Release Review Candidate specifications
 - PRs https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pulls
 - Project Board https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/projects/1
 - Release Plan
 https://eclipse-ee4j.github.io/jakartaee-platform/jakartaee10/JakartaEE10
 ReleasePlan
 - Wiki https://github.com/eclipse-ee4i/jakartaee-platform/wiki/JakartaEE10
- Follow-up on namespace action items from January 26th call:
 - 1.There was confusion around what was decided regarding TCK package names and how it is to be applied to spec projects in Jakarta EE 10, and subsequent releases was not provided
 - **Action**: Package namespace decision is for future releases. Proposed draft text from Emily:
 - 1. The usage of jakarta.* as Jakarta EE TCK package names is

NOT permitted in any of Jakarta EE releases. If some TCK tests use jakarta.* namespace, they can easily be challenged as invalid because jakarta.* was reserved for Jakarta EE API usage only. The challenge would be valid and the risk of getting the TCKs excluded would be very high.

- 2. If a newly written Jakarta EE 10 TCK uses jakarta.*, they MUST be renamed.
- 3. The recently approved TCK package name ee.jakarta.tck.[spec] can be used by Jakarta EE TCKs but the usage is not required. The project team can choose other TCK package names if they wish.

No further review or discussion required. The "official" communication is the JESP and the TCK Operations Guide.

- O 2. Usage of the jakarta package namespace for tests within a TCK
 - Action: Update the TCK Operations document [David].
 - See the draft text from David for TCK Operation Guide:
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/pull/1402

Reviewed, no objections, +1 to the PR from the Spec Committee

- See the draft text from Scott in the Platform wiki:
 - https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jakartaee-platform/wiki/Pac kage-Naming,-APIs,-TCKs
- 3. General guidance on the authorized usage of the jakarta package namespace governed by the Eclipse Foundation and the Working Group
 - **Action**: Write down somewhere that the jakarta namespace is for APIs of Jakarta specifications. Update the JESP and add boilerplate to all the specification documents. See PR for proposed update from Ivar:
 - https://github.com/jakartaee/JESP/pull/8

Reviewed, no objections noted, as per JESP 7 day ballot to be initiated

- Ratifying compatible implementations that do depend on release candidate APIs [Scott]

 - This matter is closed, ratification spec can be done with RC.
- Please respond to the <u>Jakarta EE Working Group Member Survey</u> google form [Tanja]

The following item were not covered and will be added to the agenda for next meeting

- Jakarta EE repositories proposal for specification and implementation projects [Ivar]
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1Gkl0UeHH9L8OSvSNCk2zwW0vWofa

3uBKjovKd212lQ/edit#slide=id.g10dcc1a79c5_0_40